Alta California Regional Center

Phil Bonnet, Executive Director 2241 Harvard Street Suite 100, Sacramento, CA 95815 Phone: (916) 978-6400 • Fax: (916) 929-1036 E-mail: <u>pbonnet@altaregional.org</u> <u>www.altaregional.org</u>



Spring 2019

Performance Report for Alta California Regional Center

Every year, the Department of Developmental Services (DDS) contracts with regional centers in California to serve consumers and families. And, every year DDS looks at how well the regional centers are doing. This report will give you information about your regional center.

Last year, at Alta California Regional Center (ACRC) we served about 24,720 consumers. The charts on page two tell you about the consumers we serve. You'll also see how well we are doing in meeting our goals and in fulfilling our contract with DDS.

At ACRC, we want to improve every year, do better than the state average, and meet or exceed the DDS standard. As you can see in this report, we did well in most areas. , We strive to continue to provide quality services to our clients and their families.

We hope this report helps you learn more about ACRC. If you have any questions or comments, please contact us!


This report is a summary. To see the complete report, go to: www.altaregional.org

Or contact Peter Tiedemann at 916-978-6201.

Phil Bonnet Director, Alta California Regional Center

Who uses ACRC?

These charts tell you about who ACRC consumers are and where they live.



How well is ACRC performing?

This chart tells you five areas where DDS wants each regional center to keep improving.

The first column tells you how ACRC was doing at the end of 2017, and the second column shows how ACRC was doing at the end of 2018.

To see how ACRC compares to the other regional centers in the state, compare the numbers to the state averages (in the shaded columns).

Regional Center Goals	Decemb	oer 2017	December 2018		
(based on Lanterman Act)	State Average	ACRC	State Average	ACRC	
Fewer consumers live in developmental centers	0.21%	0.26%	0.12%	0.15%	
More children live with families	99.32%	99.38%	99.38%	99.47%	
More adults live in home settings	79.61%	82.00%	80.20%	82.77%	
Fewer children live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	0.04%	0.00%	0.04%	0.00%	
Fewer adults live in large facilities (more than 6 people)	2.47%	2.69%	2.31%	2.38%	

Notes: 1) Consumers can be included in more than one diagnosis category. 2) Residence Types: CCF/ICF is Community Care Facility/Intermediate Care Facility; ILS/SLS is Independent Living Services/Supported Living Services. 3) Home settings include independent living, supported living, Adult Family Home Agency homes, and consumers' family homes. 4) Green text indicates the RC remained the same or improved from the previous year, red indicates the RC did not improve.

Did ACRC meet DDS standards?

Read below to see how well ACRC did in meeting DDS compliance standards:

Areas Measured	Last Period	Current Period
Passes independent audit	Yes	Yes
Passes DDS audit	Yes	Yes
Audits vendors as required	Met	Partially Met
Didn't overspend operations budget	Yes	Yes
Participates in the federal waiver	Yes	Yes
CDERs and ESRs are updated as required (CDER is the Client Development Evaluation Report and ESR is the Early Start Report. Both contain information about consumers, including diagnosis.)	96.78%	96.67%
Intake/Assessment timelines for consumers age 3 or older met	100%	95.58%
IPP (Individual Program Plan) requirements met	98.63%	N/A
IFSP (Individualized Family Service Plan) requirements met	75.2%	75.3%

Notes: 1) The federal waiver refers to the Medicaid Home and Community-Based Services Waiver program that allows California to offer services not otherwise available through the Medi-Cal program to serve people (including individuals with developmental disabilities) in their own homes and communities. 2) The CDER and ESR currency percentages were weighted based on the RC's Status 1 and Status 2 caseloads to arrive at a composite score. 3) The IFSP calculation methodology was changed from composite to average in order to more accurately reflect the RC's performance by only including children reviewed during monitoring and not all Early Start consumers. 4) N/A indicates that the regional center was not reviewed for the measure during the current period.

How well is ACRC doing at getting consumers working?

The chart below shows how well ACRC is performing on increasing consumer employment performance compared to their prior performance and statewide averages:

Areas Massurad	Time Period					
Areas Measured	СА	ACRC	CA	ACRC		
Consumer Earned Income (Age 16+):	lon thro	ugh Dec 2016	Jan through Dec 2017			
Data Source: Employment Development Department	Jan inio	ugn Dec 2016				
Quarterly number of consumers with earned income	25,236	2,512	27,182	2,602		
Percentage of consumers with earned income		16%	21%	17%	21%	
Average annual wages		\$8,327	\$7,956	\$9,033	\$8,495	
Annual earnings of consumers compared to people with all disa	bilities in California		2016	2017		
Data Source: Cornell University Disability Status Report		\$	45,300	\$47,500		
National Core Indicator Adult Consumer Survey	July 20 ⁻	11-June 2012	July 2014-June 2015			
Percentage of adults who reported having integrated employment as	a goal in their IPP	27%	31%	27%	22%	
Paid Internship Program		2017-18				
Data Source: Paid Internship Program Survey	CA	Average	ACRC			
Number of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated employ Paid Internship Program	6		21			
Percentage of adults who were placed in competitive, integrated emp in a Paid Internship Program	18%		34%			
Average hourly or salaried wages for adults who participated in a Pai	d Internship Program	\$11.64		\$11.92		
Average hours worked per week for adults who participated in a Paid	I Internship Program		18	18		
Competitive Integrated Employment Data Source: Competitive Integrated Employment Incentive Program	n Survey					
Average wages for adults engaged in competitive, integrated employ incentive payments have been made	\$11.93		\$11.63			
Average hours worked for adults engages in competitive, integrated e incentive payments have been made	22		20			
Total number of Incentive payments made for the fiscal year for the	\$1,500	13		18		
following amounts:	\$1,250	21		30		
	\$1,000		29		24	

The 2019 employment data was compiled differently from previous years. To obtain these statistics, DDS provided the EDD with the names and social security numbers of individuals ages 16 and older that are Status 2. The EDD matched that information to their database and returned individual-specific wage data including employment locations, business name and type. DDS then analyzed the data for accuracy and only reported to regional centers the information deemed most accurate. Approximately 87% of the EDD data were deemed accurate enough to report with confidence.

How well is ACRC doing at reducing disparities and improving equity?

These tables show you how well the regional center is doing at providing services equally for all consumers.

Percent of total annual purchase of service expenditures by individual's ethnicity and age

Age Group	Measure	American Indian or Alaska Native		Asian		Black/African American		Hispanic		Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander		White		Other Ethnicity or Race	
		2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018	2017	2018
Dirth to 2	Consumers	0%	0%	7%	9%	9%	9%	22%	22%	0%	0%	39%	37%	22%	22%
Birth to 2	Expenditures	0%	0%	10%	11%	9%	8%	22%	23%	0%	1%	42%	41%	16%	15%
2 to 21	Consumers	0%	0%	10%	11%	11%	11%	20%	20%	0%	0%	42%	42%	15%	16%
3 to 21	Expenditures	1%	1%	9%	8%	13%	14%	17%	18%	1%	0%	45%	43%	16%	16%
22 and older	Consumers	1%	1%	7%	7%	14%	14%	12%	12%	0.5%	0%	59%	58%	7%	8%
	Expenditures	1%	0%	4%	4%	12%	12%	9%	8%	0.3%	0%	68%	68%	6%	6%

Number and percent of individuals receiving only case management services by age and ethnicity

	Year		Eligible Consum se Management	-	Percent of Eligible Consumers Receiving Case Management Only				
Measure		Birth to 2	3 to 21	22 and Older	Birth to 2	3 to 21	22 and Older		
American Indian or	2017	0	17	8	0%	38%	13%		
Alaska Native	2018	1	16	12	7%	33%	20%		
Asian	2017	201	368	149	8%	33%	22%		
	2018	24	387	169	8%	32%	23%		
Black/African American	2017	23	347	156	8%	29%	11%		
	2018	28	370	185	9%	30%	13%		
Hispanic	2017	49	475	196	7%	22%	16%		
	2018	60	481	195	8%	21%	15%		
Native Hawaiian or Other	2017	1	11	10	9%	25%	22%		
Pacific Islander	2018	1	16	14	8%	33%	28%		
White	2017	60	1276	581	5%	28%	10%		
	2018	72	1,399	593	6%	30%	10%		
Other Ethnicity or Race	2017	23	410	114	3%	25%	15%		
	2018	42	489	128	5%	27%	16%		
Total	2017	176	2904	1214	5%	27%	12%		
IUlai	2018	228	3,158	1,296	7%	28%	12%		

Want more information?

To see the complete report, go to: www.altaregional.org

Or contact Peter Tiedemann at 916-978-6201